

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/583,152	06/16/2006	Takeshi Minami	062610	2564		
38834 7590 (3/16/2009) WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			LIAO, DIANA J			
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1793	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/16/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/583,152	MINAMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DIANA J. LIAO	1793		

	DIANA J. LIAO	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exh under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allenon-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	idea below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-15.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16,18 and 19.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	hafaa aa aa dha data af Stan a Na		be sets and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							

/Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional limitation involving the selectivity would require additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The comparative example and evidence using a similar catalyst as that of the prior art is not found not in the form of a declaration. In addition, the further consideration would have to be made to determine if 90% selectivity is patentable over that of 84.5%. The comparative examples in the originally filed specification are also very close to 90%.